

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DANIEL KEVIN,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 JOHN GHAG, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.  
14

CASE NO. C17-1929-JCC

MINUTE ORDER

15 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.  
16 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

17 This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. On December 29, 2017, Magistrate Judge  
18 Brian Tsuchida granted Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and recommended the  
19 complaint be reviewed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) prior to the issuance of a summons.  
20 (Dkt. No. 4).

21 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must dismiss an *in forma pauperis*  
22 complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises frivolous or malicious claims, or  
23 seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. Federal Rule of Civil  
24 Procedure 8 provides that in order to state a claim for relief, a pleading must contain "a short and  
25 plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction" and "a short and plain statement of the  
26 claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(1) & (2). At a minimum,

1 a complaint must put defendants on notice of what wrongs they committed against the plaintiff.  
2 *See McHenry v. Renne*, 84 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 1996).

3 In review of Plaintiff's complaint, the Court does not find it contains a short and plain  
4 statement of the claim showing he is entitled to relief and that places the Defendants on notice of  
5 the claims against them. This is true even when the Court applies the Ninth Circuit's directive to  
6 construe *pro se* complaints liberally. *See Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010).  
7 Plaintiff names as defendants "John Ghag, Americas Best Value Inn" and "Greg Mount, Red  
8 Lion." (Dkt. No. 5 at 1.) Plaintiff alleges that he was harmed when he was "bitten badly by bed  
9 bugs, at this hotel." (*Id.* at 2.) However, Plaintiff does not specifically allege what hotel this  
10 occurred at or when he was bitten. Nor does Plaintiff allege how the named Defendants—John  
11 Ghag and Greg Mount—caused him to be harmed. Aside from being named as defendants, there  
12 are no factual allegations in the complaint regarding either of the named defendants. Although  
13 the Court finds the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, it will not  
14 dismiss a claim unless "it is absolutely clear that no amendment can cure the [complaint's]  
15 defects." *Lucas v. Dep't of Corr.*, 66 F.3d 245, 248 (9th Cir. 1995) (citation omitted).

16 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint no later  
17 than twenty-one (21) days from the date of this order. In his amended complaint, Plaintiff must  
18 include a short and plain statement of how the named Defendants caused him harm, where the  
19 harm occurred, and when the harm occurred.

20 The Clerk is DIRECTED to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff at 1035 E. Vista Way  
21 #141, Vista, CA 92084.

22 DATED this 29th day of December 2017.

23 William M. McCool  
24 Clerk of Court

25 s/Tomas Hernandez  
26 Deputy Clerk